Technical Slide

Module 6: Dynamic Programming ||

Lesson 1: Knapsack Video 1.1: Knapsack with Repetitions Video 1.2: Knapsack without Repetitions Video 1.3: Final Remarks

2 Lesson 2: Chain Matrix Multiplication Video 2.1: Chain Matrix Multiplication Video 2.2: Summary

Knapsack Problem



Goal

Maximize value (\$) while limiting total weight (kg)

 Classical problem in combinatorial optimization with applications in resource allocation, cryptography, planning

- Classical problem in combinatorial optimization with applications in resource allocation, cryptography, planning
- Weights and values may mean various resources (to be maximized or limited):

- Classical problem in combinatorial optimization with applications in resource allocation, cryptography, planning
- Weights and values may mean various resources (to be maximized or limited):
 - Select a set of TV commercials (each commercial has duration and cost) so that the total revenue is maximal while the total length does not exceed the length of the available time slot

- Classical problem in combinatorial optimization with applications in resource allocation, cryptography, planning
- Weights and values may mean various resources (to be maximized or limited):
 - Select a set of TV commercials (each commercial has duration and cost) so that the total revenue is maximal while the total length does not exceed the length of the available time slot
 - Purchase computers for a data center to achieve the maximal performance under limited budget























Without repetitions: one of each item

Knapsack with repetitions problem

Input: Weights w_0, \ldots, w_{n-1} and values v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1} of *n* items; total weight *W* (v_i 's, w_i 's, and *W* are non-negative integers).

Output: The maximum value of items whose weight does not exceed *W*. Each item can be used any number of times.

Analyzing an Optimal Solution

Consider an optimal solution and an item in it:



Analyzing an Optimal Solution

Consider an optimal solution and an item in it:



■ If we take this item out then we get an optimal solution for a knapsack of total weight W - w_i.

 Let value(u) be the maximum value of knapsack of weight u

 Let value(u) be the maximum value of knapsack of weight u

$$value(u) = \max_{i: w_i \leq w} \{value(u - w_i) + v_i\}$$

 Let value(u) be the maximum value of knapsack of weight u

$$value(u) = \max_{i: w_i \leq w} \{value(u - w_i) + v_i\}$$

■ Base case: *value*(0) = 0

 Let value(u) be the maximum value of knapsack of weight u

$$value(u) = \max_{i: w_i \leq w} \{value(u - w_i) + v_i\}$$

- Base case: *value*(0) = 0
- This recurrence relation is transformed into a recursive algorithm in a straightforward way

Recursive Algorithm

```
1 T = dict()
  def knapsack(w, v, u):
   if u not in T:
      T[u] = 0
      for i in range(len(w)):
        if w[i] <= u:
          T[u] = max(T[u])
             knapsack(w, v, u - w[i]) + v[i])
    return T[u]
  print(knapsack(w=[6, 3, 4, 2]))
                  v = [30, 14, 16, 9], u = 10))
```

Recursive into Iterative

 As usual, one can transform a recursive algorithm into an iterative one

Recursive into Iterative

- As usual, one can transform a recursive algorithm into an iterative one
- For this, we gradually fill in an array T:
 T[u] = value(u)

Recursive Algorithm

Example: W = 10



Example: W = 10



Example: W = 10



Subproblems Revisited

 Another way of arriving at subproblems: optimizing brute force solution

Subproblems Revisited

- Another way of arriving at subproblems: optimizing brute force solution
- Populate a list of used items one by one

Brute Force: Knapsack with Repetitions

```
def knapsack(W, w, v, items):
1
2
     weight = sum(w[i] for i in items)
3
     value = sum(v[i] for i in items)
4
5
     for i in range(len(w)):
6
7
        if weight + w[i] \le W:
          value = max(value)
8
                knapsack(W, w, v, items + [i]))
9
10
     return value
11
   print (knapsack (W=10, w=[6, 3, 4, 2],
12
                   v = [30, 14, 16, 9], items = []))
13
```

 It remains to notice that the only important thing for extending the current set of items is the weight of this set

- It remains to notice that the only important thing for extending the current set of items is the weight of this set
- One then replaces items by their weight in the list of parameters
Technical Slide

Module 6: Dynamic Programming ||

Lesson 1: Knapsack
 Video 1.1: Knapsack with Repetitions
 Video 1.2: Knapsack without Repetitions
 Video 1.3: Final Remarks

2 Lesson 2: Chain Matrix Multiplication Video 2.1: Chain Matrix Multiplication Video 2.2: Summary

With repetitions: unlimited quantities



Without repetitions: one of each item

Knapsack without repetitions problem

Input: Weights w_0, \ldots, w_{n-1} and values v_0, \ldots, v_{n-1} of *n* items; total weight *W* $(v_i$'s, w_i 's, and *W* are non-negative integers).

Output: The maximum value of items whose weight does not exceed *W*. Each item can be used at most once.









• If the last item is taken into an optimal solution:

$$W_{n-1}$$
 W

then what is left is an optimal solution for a knapsack of total weight $W - w_{n-1}$ using items $0, 1, \ldots, n-2$.

If the last item is taken into an optimal solution:

$$W_{n-1}$$
 W

then what is left is an optimal solution for a knapsack of total weight $W - w_{n-1}$ using items $0, 1, \ldots, n-2$.

■ If the last item is not used, then the whole knapsack must be filled in optimally with items 0, 1, ..., n - 2.

■ For 0 ≤ u ≤ W and 0 ≤ i ≤ n, value(u, i) is the maximum value achievable using a knapsack of weight u and the first i items.

- For 0 ≤ u ≤ W and 0 ≤ i ≤ n, value(u, i) is the maximum value achievable using a knapsack of weight u and the first i items.
- Base case: *value*(*u*, 0) = 0, *value*(0, *i*) = 0

- For 0 ≤ u ≤ W and 0 ≤ i ≤ n, value(u, i) is the maximum value achievable using a knapsack of weight u and the first i items.
- Base case: value(u, 0) = 0, value(0, i) = 0
- For i > 0, the item i 1 is either used or not: value(u, i) is equal to

 $\max\{value(u-w_{i-1}, i-1)+v_{i-1}, value(u, i-1)\}$

Recursive Algorithm

```
T = dict()
2
3
   def knapsack(w, v, u, i):
4
      if (u, i) not in T:
5
      if i == 0:
6
7
8
9
         T[u, i] = 0
        else:
         T[u, i] = knapsack(w, v, u, i - 1)
          if u >= w[i - 1]:
            T[u, i] = max(T[u, i]),
10
              knapsack(w, v, u - w[i - 1], i - 1) + v[i - 1]
11
12
13
      return T[u, i]
14
15
16
   print(knapsack(w=[6, 3, 4, 2]))
17
                    v = [30, 14, 16, 9], u = 10, i = 4))
```

Iterative Algorithm

```
def knapsack(W, w, v):
1
2
     T = [[None] * (len(w) + 1) for in range(W + 1)]
3
4
5
     for u in range (W + 1):
       T[u][0] = 0
6
7
      for i in range (1, \text{len}(w) + 1):
8
        for u in range (W + 1):
9
         T[u][i] = T[u][i - 1]
          if u >= w[i - 1]:
10
            T[u][i] = max(T[u][i]),
11
              T[u - w[i - 1]][i - 1] + v[i - 1])
12
13
14
      return T[W] [len(w)]
15
16
17
   print (knapsack (W=10, w=[6, 3, 4, 2],
                    v = [30, 14, 16, 9])
18
```



• Running time: O(nW)



Running time: O(nW) Space: O(nW)

Analysis

- Running time: O(nW)
- Space: O(nW)
- Space can be improved to O(W) in the iterative version: instead of storing the whole table, store the current column and the previous one

 As it usually happens, an optimal solution can be unwound by analyzing the computed solutions to subproblems

- As it usually happens, an optimal solution can be unwound by analyzing the computed solutions to subproblems
- Start with u = W, i = n

- As it usually happens, an optimal solution can be unwound by analyzing the computed solutions to subproblems
- Start with u = W, i = n
- If value(u, i) = value(u, i − 1), then item i − 1 is not taken. Update i to i − 1

- As it usually happens, an optimal solution can be unwound by analyzing the computed solutions to subproblems
- Start with u = W, i = n
- If value(u, i) = value(u, i − 1), then item i − 1 is not taken. Update i to i − 1
- Otherwise
 value(u, i) = value(u w_{i-1}, i 1) + v_{i-1} and the item i i is taken. Update i to i 1 and u to u w_{i-1}

Subproblems Revisited

How to implement a brute force solution for the knapsack without repetitions problem?

Subproblems Revisited

- How to implement a brute force solution for the knapsack without repetitions problem?
- Process items one by one. For each item, either take into a bag or not

```
def knapsack(W, w, v, items, last):
  weight = sum(w[i] for i in items)
  if last == len(w) - 1:
    return sum(v[i] for i in items)
  value = knapsack (W, w, v, items, last + 1)
  if weight + w[last + 1] <= W:</pre>
    items.append(last + 1)
    value = max(value),
                 knapsack (W, w, v, items, last + 1)
    items.pop()
  return value
print (knapsack (W=10, w=[6, 3, 4, 2],
                v = [30, 14, 16, 9],
                items = [], last = -1))
```

Technical Slide

Module 6: Dynamic Programming ||

1 Lesson 1: Knapsack

Video 1.1: Knapsack with Repetitions Video 1.2: Knapsack without Repetitions Video 1.3: Final Remarks

2 Lesson 2: Chain Matrix Multiplication Video 2.1: Chain Matrix Multiplication Video 2.2: Summary

Recursive vs Iterative

 If all subproblems must be solved then an iterative algorithm is usually faster since it has no recursion overhead

Recursive vs Iterative

- If all subproblems must be solved then an iterative algorithm is usually faster since it has no recursion overhead
- There are cases however when one does not need to solve all subproblems and the knapsack problem is a good example: assume that W and all w_i's are multiples of 100; then value(w) is not needed if w is not divisible by 100

The running time O(nW) is not polynomial since the input size is proportional to log W, but not W

- The running time O(nW) is not polynomial since the input size is proportional to log W, but not W
- In other words, the running time is $O(n2^{\log W})$.

- The running time O(nW) is not polynomial since the input size is proportional to log W, but not W
- In other words, the running time is O(n2^{log W}).
 E.g., for

$W = 10\,345\,970\,345\,617\,824\,751$

(twenty digits only!) the algorithm needs roughly 10^{20} basic operations

- The running time O(nW) is not polynomial since the input size is proportional to log W, but not W
- In other words, the running time is O(n2^{log W}).
 E.g., for

$\textit{W} = 10\,345\,970\,345\,617\,824\,751$

(twenty digits only!) the algorithm needs roughly 10^{20} basic operations

 Solving the knapsack problem in truly polynomial time is the essence of the P vs NP problem, the most important open problem in Computer Science (with a bounty of \$1M)

Technical Slide

Module 6: Dynamic Programming ||

Lesson 1: Knapsac

Video 1.1: Knapsack with Repetitions Video 1.2: Knapsack without Repetitions Video 1.3: Final Remarks

2 Lesson 2: Chain Matrix Multiplication Video 2.1: Chain Matrix Multiplication Video 2.2: Summary Chain matrix multiplication

- Input: Chain of *n* matrices A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1} to be multiplied.
- Output: An order of multiplication minimizing the total cost of multiplication.

Clarifications

• Denote the sizes of matrices A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1} by

 $m_0 \times m_1, m_1 \times m_2, \ldots, m_{n-1} \times m_n$

respectively. I.e., the size of A_i is $m_i \times m_{i+1}$

Clarifications

• Denote the sizes of matrices A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1} by

 $m_0 \times m_1, m_1 \times m_2, \ldots, m_{n-1} \times m_n$

respectively. I.e., the size of A_i is m_i × m_{i+1}
Matrix multiplication is not commutative (in general, A × B ≠ B × A), but it is associative: A × (B × C) = (A × B) × C

Clarifications

• Denote the sizes of matrices A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1} by

 $m_0 \times m_1, m_1 \times m_2, \ldots, m_{n-1} \times m_n$

respectively. I.e., the size of A_i is $m_i imes m_{i+1}$

- Matrix multiplication is not commutative (in general, $A \times B \neq B \times A$), but it is associative: $A \times (B \times C) = (A \times B) \times C$
- Thus A × B × C × D can be computed, e.g., as (A × B) × (C × D) or (A × (B × C)) × D
Clarifications

• Denote the sizes of matrices A_0, \ldots, A_{n-1} by

 $m_0 \times m_1, m_1 \times m_2, \ldots, m_{n-1} \times m_n$

respectively. I.e., the size of A_i is $m_i imes m_{i+1}$

- Matrix multiplication is not commutative (in general, $A \times B \neq B \times A$), but it is associative: $A \times (B \times C) = (A \times B) \times C$
- Thus A × B × C × D can be computed, e.g., as (A × B) × (C × D) or (A × (B × C)) × D
- The cost of multiplying two matrices of size p × q and q × r is pqr



cost:



cost: $20 \cdot 1 \cdot 10$



cost: $20 \cdot 1 \cdot 10 + 20 \cdot 10 \cdot 100$



$\begin{array}{c} A \times B \times C \times D \\ 50 \times 100 \end{array}$

cost: $20 \cdot 1 \cdot 10 + 20 \cdot 10 \cdot 100 + 50 \cdot 20 \cdot 100 = 120200$



$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & B & C & D \\ 50 \times 20 & 20 \times 1 & 1 \times 10 & 10 \times 100 \end{array}$

cost:



cost: $50 \cdot 20 \cdot 1$



cost: $50 \cdot 20 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 10 \cdot 100$



$\begin{array}{c} A \times B \times C \times D \\ 50 \times 100 \end{array}$

cost: $50 \cdot 20 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 10 \cdot 100 + 50 \cdot 1 \cdot 100 = 7000$

Order as a Full Binary Tree



 $((A \times B) \times C) \times D$

 $A \times ((B \times C) \times D)$

 $(A \times (B \times C)) \times D$

Analyzing an Optimal Tree



each subtree computes the product of A_p, \ldots, A_q for some $p \leq q$

Subproblems

• Let M(i, j) be the minimum cost of computing $A_i \times \cdots \times A_{j-1}$

Subproblems

Let M(i,j) be the minimum cost of computing A_i × ··· × A_{j-1}
 Then

$$M(i,j) = \min_{i < k < j} \{M(i,k) + M(k,j) + m_i \cdot m_k \cdot m_j\}$$

Subproblems

Let M(i,j) be the minimum cost of computing A_i × ··· × A_{j-1}
 Then

$$M(i,j) = \min_{i < k < j} \{M(i,k) + M(k,j) + m_i \cdot m_k \cdot m_j\}$$

Base case: M(i, i + 1) = 0

Recursive Algorithm

```
T = dict()
2
3
   def matrix mult(m, i, j):
4
     if (i, j) not in T:
5
6
7
8
9
      if j == i + 1:
         T[i, i] = 0
        else:
         T[i, j] = float("inf")
         for k in range (i + 1, j):
            T[i, j] = \min(T[i, j]),
10
              matrix mult(m, i, k) +
11
12
              matrix mult(m, k, j) +
              m[i] * m[i] * m[k]
13
14
     return T[i, j]
15
16
17
   print (matrix mult(m=[50, 20, 1, 10, 100], i=0, j=4))
```

Converting to an Iterative Algorithm

- We want to solve subproblems going from smaller size subproblems to larger size ones
- The size is the number of matrices needed to be multiplied: j − i
- A possible order:



Iterative Algorithm

```
def matrix mult(m):
1
2
     n = len(m) - 1
3
     T = [[float("inf")] * (n + 1) for in range(n + 1)]
4
5
     for i in range(n):
6
7
8
       T[i][i + 1] = 0
     for s in range (2, n + 1):
9
        for i in range(n - s + 1):
10
         i = i + s
          for k in range (i + 1, j):
11
           T[i][j] = \min(T[i][j]),
12
13
              T[i][k] + T[k][i] +
              m[i] * m[j] * m[k]
14
15
16
     return T[0][n]
17
   print(matrix mult(m=[50, 20, 1, 10, 100]))
18
```

Final Remarks

• Running time: $O(n^3)$

Final Remarks

- Running time: $O(n^3)$
- To unwind a solution, go from the cell (0, n) to a cell (i, i + 1)

Final Remarks

- Running time: $O(n^3)$
- To unwind a solution, go from the cell (0, n) to a cell (i, i + 1)
- Brute force search: recursively enumerate all possible trees

Technical Slide

Module 6: Dynamic Programming ||

Lesson 1: Knapsac

Video 1.1: Knapsack with Repetitions Video 1.2: Knapsack without Repetitions Video 1.3: Final Remarks

2 Lesson 2: Chain Matrix Multiplication Video 2.1: Chain Matrix Multiplication Video 2.2: Summary

Step 1 (the most important step)

Define subproblems and write down a recurrence relation (with a base case) either by analyzing the structure of an optimal solution, or by optimizing a brute force solution

Subproblems: Review

- Longest increasing subsequence: LIS(i) is the length of longest common subsequence ending at element A[i]
- Edit distance: ED(i, j) is the edit distance between prefixes of length i and j
- Knapsack: K(w) is the optimal value of a knapsack of total weight w
- A Chain matrix multiplication M(i, j) is the optimal cost of multiplying matrices through i to j 1

Convert a recurrence relation into a recursive algorithm: store a solution to each subproblem in a table before solving a subproblem check whether its solution is already stored in the table

Convert a recursive algorithm into an iterative algorithm:

- initialize the table
- go from smaller subproblems to larger ones
- specify an order of subproblems

Prove an upper bound on the running time. Usually the product of the number of subproblems and the time needed to solve a subproblem is a reasonable estimate.

Uncover a solution

Exploit the regular structure of the table to check whether space can be saved

Recursive vs Iterative

Advantages of iterative approach:

- No recursion overhead
- May allow saving space by exploiting a regular structure of the table
- Advantages of recursive approach:
 - May be faster if not all the subproblems need to be solved
 - An order on subproblems is implicit